



QUESTIONS & RESPONSES #03

RFP or RFQ / TITLE 071447 | Lower Wapato Creek Habitat Project 2021

CONTACT Heather Shadko, PROCUREMENT

EMAIL procurement@portoftacoma.com

PHONE NUMBER 253-428-8697

SUBMITTAL DUE DATE June 23, 2021 @2:00PM (PST)

Q&A ISSUE DATE Wednesday, June 16, 2021

| PROPOSER QUESTIONS | PORT RESPONSES | RFP/ RFQ Section |
|--|---|------------------------|
| Why there is a bridge that appears completely unrelated to the project as well as power line modifications that are also best addressed with a separate contracts? | The Project scope is as listed as One (1) Contract to include all components of work. | |
| Does the pipe need insulation going through the pipe supports? | No insulation will be required at the supports. | |
| Do the expansion joints need to be insulated? | No insulation will be required at the expansion joints. | |

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| <p>Due to the current schedule on this project, and possible material availability issues, would an alternate of 22" x .625wt (A252 Gr. 3 w/50KSI Min Yield) be an acceptable substitution for the piling on this project. This material is currently in stock in Auburn, WA and production could start very quickly in order to meet the project schedule.</p> | <p>"An alternate pile such as the one described (22" x .625wt A252 Gr. 3 w/50KSI Min Yield) would be acceptable if the following conditions are met:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A substitution request is submitted to the Port. 2. The pile is either demonstrated to have equal to or better (1) geotechnical and (2) structural capacity, or. 3. Provides documentation from the geotechnical and structural EORs of the required changes (pile tip elevations, number of piles, etc.) to achieve necessary capacity. <p>In the case of the specific pile substitution listed above, the EORs have determined that (1) the pile tip elevations would need to be 5 ft deeper to provide geotechnical capacity, and (2) its structural capacity is adequate, but a substitution request would still be required to make that change. Please submit any substitution requests by the applicable deadline."</p> | |
| <p>The contract specification are silent regarding who is responsible to furnish the anchor bolts and circle templates for the three (3) steel pole structures. If the contractor is to furnish the anchor bolts, please provide a material specification.</p> | <p>Anchor bolts are provided by the pole supplier (Nello)</p> | |

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| <p>The contract specifications do not provide specifics regarding removal and handling of “duff material.” Plan sheet D1.0 indicates that duff material is to be removed over the entire footprint of the site (outside of the arsenic impacted soil areas) and transported to Parcel 88. How should the contractor estimate the depth of removal to quantify the total volume to be transported? We assume all topsoil and vegetative material shall be hauled to parcel 88.</p> | <p>Duff removal should be to a depth of 4". This will be clarified in Addendum 1</p> | |
| <p>Please confirm the port is paying the tipping fees for disposal of arsenic impacted soils at the LRI landfill facility.</p> | <p>The Port has issued a Purchase Order to LRI to directly pay the tipping fees and taxes associated with the disposal of impacted soils.</p> | |
| <p>Under measurement and payment for bid item #7 (excess offsite disposal), it states the contractor is to include tipping fees for the disposal site. Are there tipping fees for the port indicated disposal site at (1601 Industrial Parkway)?</p> | <p>Yes, Contact information is provided on sheet G2.0 under Utility Contact Information for this facility. Contractor shall confirm with the facility to attain the appropriate fees.</p> | |
| <p>Would it be possible to get the steel fabrication drawings for the steel transmission monopoles so we know the number of pieces of poles, weights of pieces and lengths?</p> | <p>The Port has not received shop drawings for these items to date. If they become available they will be posted to the ftp site for review.</p> | |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| Material procurement will be difficult on many of the structural components. How will the Port address material procurement issues (delays) relative to contract time? Will reasonable extensions be granted? | The General Conditions section 8.03 addresses Changes in Contract Time. | |
| Please consider extending bid date one week. | The bid date will not be extended. | |
| Are there any associated TERO requirements in conjunction with the project? | If this question is asking about Tribal Employment Rights Ordinance the answer is No. If it is not in regard to this please clarify what TERO stands for. | |
| Plan sheet L1.0 shows all three soil amendment areas to be covered with jute matting in the final step of sequencing per the "Soil Placement Steps" notes. Based on this information, the total area that the jute mesh covers is approximately 16.65 acres. Is this the intention of the contract provisions? | Yes, that is correct. Per the contract drawings jute mesh is to be installed across the site at all areas that receive Planting Soil. This totals 16.65 acres. | |
| Please provide a detail and specification requirements for stream gauge installation found on sheet C1.0 of the plan set. | Detail will be provided in Addendum 1 | |